arXiv:1702.01964v1 [math.MG] 7 Feb 2017

Small cells in a Poisson hyperplane tessellation

Gilles Bonnet*

January 27, 2017

Abstract

Until now, little was known about properties of small cells in a Poisson hyperplane tessellation. The few existing results were either heuristic or applying only to the two dimensional case and for very specific size measurements and directional distributions. This paper fills this gap by providing a systematic study of small cells in a Poisson hyperplane tessellation of arbitrary dimension, arbitrary directional distribution φ and with respect to an arbitrary size measurement Σ. More precisely, we investigate the distribution of the typical cell Z, conditioned on the event $\{\Sigma(Z) < a\}$, where $a \to 0$ and Σ is a size measurement, i.e. a functional on the set of convex bodies which is continuous, not identically zero, homogeneous of degree $k > 0$, and increasing with respect to set inclusion. We focus on the number of facets and the shape of such small cells. We show in various general settings that small cells tend to minimize the number of facets and that they have a non degenerated limit shape distribution which depends on the size Σ and the directional distribution. We also exhibit a class of directional distribution for which cells with small inradius do not tend to minimize the number of facets.

Keywords. Poisson hyperplane tessellation, typical cell, small cells, number of facets, shape, size measurement.

MSC. 60D05, 52A22

1 Introduction

D.G. Kendall recalled in 1987 in the foreword of [SKM87] a conjecture he made a few decades before about the shape of big cells in random tessellations. He considered a planar stationary isotropic Poisson line tessellation and conjectured that the zero cell Z_o (i.e. the cell containing the origin) tends do be circular, if we condition on its area $V_2(Z_o) \to \infty$. This conjectured was later proved by Kovalenko [Kov97, Kov99] and many contributions to this problem and very broad generalisations of it have

*Ruhr Universität Bochum, Email: gilles.bonnet@rub.de

been done by Miles, Goldman, Mecke, Osburg, Hug, Reitzner and Schneider. See Note 9 of Section 10.4 in [SW08] for precise references.

In contrast to D.G. Kendall's problem we are interested in the shape of small cells for which much less is known. Let η be a stationary Poisson hyperplane process in $\mathbb{R}^d$, with $d \geq 2$, of intensity measure

$$\gamma\mu(\cdot) := \gamma \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}\left(H(u,t) \in \cdot\right) \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}\varphi(u)\,,$$

where $\gamma > 0$, $H(u,t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, u\rangle = t\}$ is the hyperplane orthogonal to u at distance t from the origin o, and φ is an even probability measure on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ whose support is not contained in some great circle. We call γ the intensity and φ the directional distribution. We consider the typical cell Z of the corresponding hyperplane tessellation. It will be defined in Section 2, but we give here an intuitive description of it. Consider a window $tW \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, with W a convex body containing the origin and $t > 0$ large. Among the cells which intersect tW, choose one uniformly at random and translate it to the origin. This random polytope converges in distribution to Z, as $t \to \infty$. We consider the following random variables:

- $f(Z)$, the *number of facets* i.e. $(d-1)$-dimensional faces, of Z,
- $\mathfrak{s}(Z)$, the *shape* of Z, which can be viewed as the congruence class of Z under the scale action,
- $\Sigma(Z)$, the *size* of Z, where Σ is any real function on the set of convex bodies (convex and compact sets with non empty interior) which is continuous, not identically zero, homogeneous of some degree $k > 0$, and increasing under set inclusion ($K \subset L \Rightarrow \Sigma(K) \leq \Sigma(L)$).

Let $n_{\min} := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{P}(f(Z) = n) > 0\}$. We are interested by the following questions.

(Q1) Is it true that $\mathbb{P}(f(Z) = n_{\min} \mid \Sigma(Z) < a) \to 1$, as $a \to 0$?

(Q1') If yes, what is the speed of convergence?

(Q2) What is the limiting distribution (if well defined) of the random variable $\mathfrak{s}(Z)$ conditioned on the event $\{\Sigma(Z) < a\}$, as $a \to 0$?

The answers to these questions turn out to strongly depend on Σ and on the directional distribution φ of η.

In [Mil95], Miles considered the planar isotropic case, i.e. φ is the normalized spherical Lebesgue measure. He gave heuristic arguments that the shape of Z conditioned on $\Sigma(Z) \to 0$ is a triangle with a random shape depending on Σ.

In [BRT14], Beermann, Redenbach and Thäle considered the planar case where the support of φ is concentrated in two couples of antipodal points. In this situation all cells are parallelograms. This setting is particularly interesting because the behavior of small cells can change drastically depending on which size measurement Σ is considered. They show that, when Σ is the perimeter, the shape of Z conditioned on $\Sigma(Z) \to 0$ converges weakly to a random non-degenerated parallelogram. Moreover, if the two directions are distributed with equal probability, it even holds that the shape of Z is independent from its perimeter. In contrast to these results, they also show that when Σ is the area, the shape of Z conditioned on $\Sigma(Z) \to 0$ converges weakly to the shape of a random line segment, i.e. a degenerated parallelogram.

We also want to mention that Schulte and Thäle in [ST16, Cor. 5], and Chenavier and Hemsley in [CH15, Thm. 2], both gave results, in the stationary and isotropic planar case, about the smallest cell(s) in a window of increasing size. Schulte and Thäle show that the area of the smallest triangular cell (with respect to the area) after a proper rescaling converges in distribution to a Weibull distributed random variable. Chenavier and Hemsley show that, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the k smallest cells (with respect to the inradius) are triangles with high probability when the window is big enough.

It seems that apart from the results mentioned above, nothing else is known about small cells. The present paper fill this gap by a systematic study of the small typical cell for general dimensions, directional distributions and size measurements.

In the next sections we will present general results. Before that, we give examples for which our results apply. The set of convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is denoted by $\mathcal{K}$. For any $K \in \mathcal{K}$, we denote by $\mathtt{r}(K)$ the biggest radius possible of a closed ball contained in K, and by $\mathtt{R}(K)$ the radius of the smallest ball containing K. These two size measurements are of particular interest, since they give the following bounds for any size measurements Σ of degree $k > 0$,

$$c\,\mathtt{r}(K) \leq \Sigma(K)^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq c\,\mathtt{R}(K), \text{ for any convex body } K, \tag{1.1}$$

where $c = \Sigma(B^d)^{\frac{1}{k}}$. Therefore, for any Borel set $A \subset \mathcal{K}$, and $a > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Z \in A\,,\, \mathtt{R}(K) < \frac{a}{c}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(Z \in A\,,\, \Sigma(K)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(Z \in A\,,\, \mathtt{r}(K) < \frac{a}{c}\right).$$

Example 1 (general φ, $\Sigma^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq c\,\mathtt{R}$)**.** Assume that $\Sigma^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq c\,\mathtt{R}$ for some constant $c > 0$. Several classical size measurements satisfy this condition. For example: the diameter (maximal distance between two points in a convex body), the mean-width, or $\mathtt{R}$. We will show that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f_{d-1}(Z) = n_{\min} \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \to 1, \text{ as } a \to 0.$$

In the trivial case where the support of φ consists of d pairs of antipodal points, all cells are parallelepipeds and therefore

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f_{d-1}(Z) = n_{\min} \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(f_{d-1}(Z) = 2d\right) = 1\,,$$

for any $a > 0$. Apart from this case, we have a linear speed convergence, that is

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f_{d-1}(Z) > n_{\min} \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \sim c'\gamma a, \text{ as } a \to 0\,,$$

where c' is a positive constant depending only on φ and Σ. Theorem 3.1 presents a refined version of these results. In particular it gives the limiting distribution of $\mathfrak{s}(Z)$ conditionned on $\{\Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\}$.

Example 2 (absolutely continuous φ, general Σ)**.** Assume that φ is absolutely continuous with respect to the spherical Lebesgue measure. This setting includes the isotropic case. Theorem 4.1 will tells us that, for any size measurement Σ of degree $k > 0$, namely

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f_{d-1}(Z) = d+1 \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \to 1, \text{ as } a \to 0\,,$$

moreover it also describes the limiting shape distribution. And Theorem 4.3 gives bounds for the speed of convergence,

$$c\gamma a \leq \mathbb{P}\left(f_{d-1}(Z) > d+1 \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \leq c'\gamma a \ln\left(\frac{1}{\gamma a}\right)\,,$$

where the positive constants c and c' depend on Σ, and where the lower bound is sharp if $\Sigma = \mathtt{R}$. We conjecture that the upper bound is sharp when $\Sigma = \mathtt{r}$.

Example 3 (φ with atoms, $\Sigma = \mathtt{r}$)**.** Finally we exhibit a class of directional distribution for which $f(Z)$ does not converge in distribution to $n_{\min}$ when conditioned on $\{\mathtt{r}(Z) < a\}$ with $a \to 0$.

We assume that φ has atoms, i.e. there exists $u \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ such that $\varphi(\{u, -u\}) > 0$, and that the support of φ includes $d+1$ distinct points which are not all contained in some half sphere. Then, Theorem 5.1 says that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f_{d-1}(Z) = n_{\min} \mid \mathtt{r}(Z) < a\right) \not\to 1, \text{ as } a \to 0\,.$$

Our paper is structured as follow. In Section 2 we set up the notation, define formally the typical cell and present the well known Complementary Theorem. In the remaining 3 sections we study the asymptotic of small cells with respect to different conditions on the directional distribution φ. In Section 3 we provide results which apply for general φ. In Section 4 we consider the case where φ is absolutely continuous, while Section 5 focus on a specific class on directional distribution with atoms.

Σ \ φ	general φ	isotropy/abs. cont.	with atom & condition on suppφ
general Σ		$f(Z) \stackrel{d}{\to} d+1$	
R	$f(Z) \stackrel{d}{\to} n_{\min}$	$f(Z) \stackrel{d}{\to} d+1$	$f(Z) \stackrel{d}{\to} n_{\min}$
r		$f(Z) \stackrel{d}{\to} d+1$	$f(Z) \stackrel{d}{\not\to} n_{\min}$

Table 1: Answers to (Q1) depending on Σ and φ. In the right column, φ is such that it has atoms and its support includes $d+1$ distinct points which are not all contained in some half sphere.

2 Preliminaries

We work in a d-dimensional Euclidean vector space $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, norm $\|\cdot\|$ and origin o. We denote by $B(x,r)$ the closed ball and by $S(x,r) = \partial B(x,r)$ the sphere with center x and radius r, by $B^d = B(o,1)$ the unit ball and by $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} = \partial B^d$ the unit sphere. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the space of affine hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with its usual topology and Borel structure, see [SW08]. Every hyperplane $H \in \mathcal{H}$, which does not contain the origin, has a unique representation

$$H(u,t) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \ \langle x, u \rangle = t\},$$

with $u \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $t > 0$. For such hyperplane, we denote by H^- (resp. H^+) the halfspace supported by H containing the origin (resp. not containing the origin).

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the set of convex bodies (compact convex sets of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with non-empty interior). We write $\mathcal{P}$ for the set of all polytopes, and $f(P)$ for the number of facets of a polytope $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_n = \{P \in \mathcal{P} | f(P) = n\}$ the set of n-topes, hence $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{K}$. For any $t > 0$, and $K, L \in \mathcal{K}$ we define

$$tK := \{tx : \ x \in K\}, \quad K + L := \{x + y : \ x \in K, y \in L\},$$

where the latter is the Minkowski sum of K and L.

The sets $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{P}$, and $\mathcal{P}_n$ are equipped with the Hausdorff distance d_H,

$$d_H(K,L) = \min\{r \geq 0 : K \subset L + rB^d, \ L \subset K + rB^d\},$$

and with the associated topology and Borel structure.

We call size measurement of degree $k > 0$ any functional $\Sigma : \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{R}$ which is continuous, not identically zero, homogeneous of some degree k meaning that $\Sigma(tK) = t^k \Sigma(K)$, and increasing under set inclusion ($K \subset L \Rightarrow \Sigma(K) \leq \Sigma(L)$).

Let η be a stationary Poisson hyperplane process in $\mathbb{R}^d$, that is a Poisson point process in the space $\mathcal{H}$ whose intensity measure is of the form

$$\mathbb{E}\,\eta(\cdot) = \gamma\mu(\cdot) := \gamma \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}\left(H(u,t) \in \cdot\right) \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}\varphi(u), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\gamma > 0$, μ is a measure on $\mathcal{H}$, φ is a even probability measure on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\mathbb{1}(\cdot)$ is the indicator function. We call γ the intensity and φ the *directional distribution* of the hyperplane process η. We assume that the support of φ is not contained in a great circle of $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. When φ is the normalized spherical Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, we say that η is isotropic.

The closure of each of the connected components of the complement of the union $\bigcup_{H\in\eta} H$ is almost surely a polytope (because the support of φ is not contained in a great circle). We denote by X the collection of these polytopes. It is called the Poisson hyperplane tessellation induced by η, and the polytopes are called the cells of X. We can see X as a point process in $\mathcal{P}$. To describe the distribution of X we need the notion of the Φ-*content* of a convex body K which is given by

$$\Phi(K) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} h(K,u)\,\mathrm{d}\varphi(u)\,,$$

where $h(K,u) := \max\{\langle x,u\rangle : \ x \in K\}$ is the value of the support function of K at u. In the isotropic case, the Φ-content of a convex set K is half of the mean-width and up to a constant the first intrinsic volume $V_1(K)$.

The definition of Φ is motivated by the fact that, for any $K \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\eta \cap K = \emptyset) = e^{-\gamma\Phi(K)}\,.$$

Note that Φ is homogeneous of degree 1 and translation invariant, meaning that $\Phi(tK+x) = t\Phi(K)$ for any $K \in \mathcal{K}$, $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In the special case where η is isotropic, 2Φ is the so called mean-width of K. Note that $K \subset \mathcal{K}$ implies that $\Phi(K) > 0$ since K contains at least 2 points.

Let $\mathfrak{c} : \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a *center function*, i.e. a measurable map compatible with translations and homogeneous under the scale action: $\mathfrak{c}(tK+x) = t\mathfrak{c}(K) + x$, for any $t \in (0,\infty)$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For example, $\mathfrak{c}$ can be the center of mass. We set $\mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{c} := \{P \in \mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{c}(P) = o\}$. Due to the natural homeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &\to \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{c} \\ P &\mapsto (\mathfrak{c}(P), P - \mathfrak{c}(P))\,, \end{aligned}$$

we will consider from now on X as a germ-grain process in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with grain space $\mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{c}$, see [SW08] for a definition of this concept. Since η is stationary, this is also the case for X. This implies the existence of a probability measure $\mathbb{Q}$ on $\mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{c}$ such that the intensity measure of the germ-grain process X decomposes into $\mathbb{Q}$ and Lebesgue measure λ_d, that is

$$\mathbb{E}\,X(\{P - \mathfrak{c}(P) \in C\,,\ \mathfrak{c}(P) \in A\}) = \gamma^{(d)}\lambda_d(A)\,\mathbb{Q}(C)\,, \tag{2.2}$$

for $C \subset \mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{c}$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, where $\gamma^{(d)} = \mathbb{E}\,X(\{P \in \mathcal{P}\,,\ \mathfrak{c}(P) \in [0,1]^d\})$. The probability measure $\mathbb{Q}$ and the constant $\gamma^{(d)}$ are called, respectively, the grain distribution and

the intensity of X. It is easy to see that $\gamma^{(d)}$ is a multiple of γ^d, where γ is the intensity of the Poisson hyperplane process. A random centred polytope $Z \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ with distribution $\mathbb{Q}$ is called a typical cell of X.

For a convex body K, we define its shape to be

$$\mathfrak{s}(K) := \frac{1}{\Phi(K)}(K - \mathfrak{c}(K))\,,$$

i.e. its translated and normalized copy of center o and Φ-content 1. We denote

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{s}} := \mathfrak{s}(\mathcal{K}) = \{K \in \mathcal{K} : \mathfrak{c}(K) = o\,,\, \Phi(K) = 1\}\,,$$

and similarly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{s}} := \mathfrak{s}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n,\mathfrak{s}} := \mathfrak{s}(\mathcal{P})$.

The complementary theorem is a well-known result which shows how the shape and the Φ-content are essential characteristics of the typical cell. It will be an important tool in the next section. This theorem holds in much more general settings. See [Mil71b, MZ96, Cow06, BL09] for general versions of this theorem, or [BCR16] for a direct proof in the present setting.

Theorem 2.1 (Complementary theorem)**.** *If we condition the typical cell Z to have n facets, then*

1. *$\Phi(Z)$ and $\mathfrak{s}(Z)$ are independent random variables,*
2. *$\Phi(Z)$ is $\Gamma_{\gamma,n-d}$ distributed.*

We conclude this section by presenting standard notation for asymptotic approximations. For two given functions f and g, we write $f(a) \sim g(a)$, as $a \to 0$, if $\lim_{a\to 0} \frac{f(a)}{g(a)} = 1$; and $f(a) = o(g(a))$, as $a \to 0$, if $\lim_{a\to 0} \frac{f(a)}{g(a)} = 0$.

3 General directional distribution

Because of the second point of complementary theorem, the probability $\mathbb{P}(f(Z) = n\,,\, \Phi(Z) < a)$ is of order a^{n-d} as $a \to 0$. This implies that cells with small Φ-content have $n_{\min} = \min\{n : \mathbb{P}(f(Z) = n) > 0\}$ facets with high probability. This fact combined with the first point of the complementary theorem gives us that $\mathfrak{s}(Z)$ conditioned on $\{\Phi(Z) < a\}$ converges in distribution, as $a \to 0$, to $\mathfrak{s}(Z)$ conditioned on the event $\{f(Z) = n_{\min}\}$. The next theorem generalizes these properties to any size measurement of the same order as Φ, for example the diameter, the mean-width, the first intrinsic volume or $\mathtt{R}$. It also give a speed of convergence. In order to state the theorem we need to introduce measures on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ which are concentrated on the spaces $\mathcal{P}_{n,\mathfrak{s}}$. We set $\mu_{n,\mathfrak{s}}(\cdot) := \mathbb{P}(f(Z) = n\,,\, \mathfrak{s}(Z) \in \cdot)$. This definition is motivated by the fact that, by the complementary theorem, we have for any Borel set $A \subset \mathcal{K}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(f(Z) = n\,,\, Z \in A) = \frac{\gamma^{n-d}}{(n-d-1)!} \int\limits_{\mathcal{P}_{n,\mathfrak{s}}} \int\limits_0^\infty \mathbb{1}(tP \in A) e^{-\gamma t} t^{n-d-1}\, \mathrm{d}t\, \mathrm{d}\mu_{n,\mathfrak{s}}(P)\,. \quad (3.1)$$

Recall that we say that a size measurement Σ is of degree k when $\Sigma(tK) = t^k \Sigma(K)$, for any $t > 0$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}$. For any size measurement Σ of degree k, we also consider a Σ-weighted version of $\mu_{n,\mathfrak{s}}$:

$$\mu_{n,\mathfrak{s},\Sigma}(\cdot) = \frac{1}{(n-d)!} \int_{\mathcal{P}_{n,\mathfrak{s}}} \mathbb{1}(P \in \cdot) \Sigma(P)^{-\frac{n-d}{k}} \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{n,\mathfrak{s}}(P) \, .$$

Note that $\mu_{n,\mathfrak{s}} = (n-d)! \, \mu_{n,\mathfrak{s},\Phi}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that Σ is a size measurement homogeneous of degree k, and that there exists $c > 0$ such that $c\,\Phi(K) \leq \Sigma(K)^{\frac{1}{k}}$, for any $K \in \mathcal{K}$. Then, for any Borel set $S \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{s}}$*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathfrak{s}(Z) \in S \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \to \frac{\mu_{n_{\min},\mathfrak{s},\Sigma}(S)}{\mu_{n_{\min},\mathfrak{s},\Sigma}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{s}})} \, ,$$

as $a \to 0$. In particular

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) = n_{\min} \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \to 1 \, ,$$

as $a \to 0$. Moreover, if $|\{n : \mathbb{P}(f(Z) = n) > 0\}| > 1$ and $S \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is open, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > n_{\min} \, , \, \mathfrak{s}(Z) \in S \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \sim \gamma a \, \frac{\mu_{n_{\min}+1,\mathfrak{s},\Sigma}(S)}{\mu_{n_{\min},\mathfrak{s},\Sigma}(S)} \, ,$$

as $a \to 0$.

In order to prove the theorem above, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $S \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and Σ be a size measurement of degree k. We have*

$$(\gamma a)^{-(n-d)} \mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) = n \, , \, \mathfrak{s}(Z) \in S \, , \, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \begin{cases} \to \mu_{n,\mathfrak{s},\Sigma}(S) & \text{as } a \to 0, \\ \leq \mu_{n,\mathfrak{s},\Sigma}(S) & \text{for any } a > 0 \, . \end{cases}$$

Proof. From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) = n \, , \, \mathfrak{s}(Z) \in S \, , \, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \\ &= \frac{\gamma^{n-d}}{(n-d-1)!} \int_{\mathcal{P}_{n,\mathfrak{s}}} \mathbb{1}(P \in S) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}\left(\Sigma(tP)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) e^{-\gamma t} t^{n-d-1} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{n,\mathfrak{s}}(P) \\ &= \frac{\gamma^{n-d}}{(n-d-1)!} \int_{\mathcal{P}_{n,\mathfrak{s}}} \mathbb{1}(P \in S) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}\left(t < \frac{a}{\Sigma(P)^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right) e^{-\gamma t} t^{n-d-1} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{n,\mathfrak{s}}(P) \, . \end{aligned}$$

But for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\mathfrak{s}}$, we have that $a \mapsto a^{-(n-d)} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}\left(t < \frac{a}{\Sigma(P)^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right) e^{-\gamma t} t^{n-d-1} \, \mathrm{d}t$ converges monotonically to $\frac{1}{n-d} \Sigma(P)^{-\frac{n-d}{k}}$, as $a \to 0$. Therefore the monotone convergence theorem yields the assertion. □

We can now prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. In the case $|\{n : \mathbb{P}(f(Z) = n) > 0\}| = 1$, only the first part of the theorem matters, and it is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.2. Therefore we can assume that $|\{n : \mathbb{P}(f(Z) = n) > 0\}| > 1$.

Because of Lemma 3.2, we observe that for any n,

$$(\gamma a)^{-(n-d)}\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > n\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \leq \sum_{k\geq 1}(\gamma a)^k \mu_{n+k,\mathfrak{s},\Sigma}(\mathcal{P}_{n+k,\mathfrak{s}})\,, \tag{3.2}$$

and we will see now that, because of the assumption made on Σ, the series above is finite and tends to 0 as $a \to 0$. Since $\Sigma(P)^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq c\,\Phi(P) = c$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\mathfrak{s}}$,

$$\mu_{n,\mathfrak{s},\Sigma}(\mathcal{P}_{n,\mathfrak{s}}) = \frac{1}{(n-d)!}\int_{\mathcal{P}_{n,\mathfrak{s}}} \Sigma(P)^{-\frac{n-d}{k}}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{n,\mathfrak{s}}(P) \leq \frac{c^{-(n-d)}}{(n-d)!}\mu_{n,\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{P}_{n,\mathfrak{s}}) \leq \frac{c^{-(n-d)}}{(n-d)!}\,.$$

In particular (3.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned}(\gamma a)^{-(n-d)}\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > n\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) &\leq \gamma a \sum_{k\geq 0}(\gamma a)^k \frac{c^{-(n+k+1-d)}}{(n+k+1-d)!} \\ &\leq \gamma a c^{-(n+1-d)}\exp(c^{-1}\gamma a) \to 0\,.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, with Lemma 3.2, we have for any n and $S \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{s}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&(\gamma a)^{-(n-d)}\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) \geq n\,,\, \mathfrak{s}(Z) \in S\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \\ &= (\gamma a)^{-(n-d)}\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) = n\,,\, \mathfrak{s}(Z) \in S\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) + o(1) \to \mu_{n,\mathfrak{s},\Sigma}(S)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\mathfrak{s}(Z) \in S \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) &= \frac{(\gamma a)^{-(n-d)}\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) \geq n_{\min}\,,\, \mathfrak{s}(Z) \in S\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right)}{(\gamma a)^{-(n-d)}\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) \geq n_{\min}\,,\, \mathfrak{s}(Z) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{s}}\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right)} \\ &\to \frac{\mu_{n_{\min},\mathfrak{s},\Sigma}(S)}{\mu_{n_{\min},\mathfrak{s},\Sigma}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{s}})}\,,\end{aligned}$$

as $a \to 0$. It only remains to prove the last point of the theorem. We consider a set $S \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{s}}$, which is open. This implies that $\mathbb{P}(f(Z) = n_{\min}\,,\, \mathfrak{s}(Z) \in S) > 0$. Thus, with (3.3) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > n_{\min}\,,\, \mathfrak{s}(Z) \in S \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) \geq n_{\min}+1\,,\, \mathfrak{s}(Z) \in S\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) \geq n_{\min}\,,\, \mathfrak{s}(Z) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{s}}\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right)} \sim \gamma a\,\frac{\mu_{n_{\min}+1,\mathfrak{s},\Sigma}(S)}{\mu_{n_{\min},\mathfrak{s},\Sigma}(S)}\,,\end{aligned}$$

as $a \to 0$. □

4 Absolutely continuous directional distribution

In this section we assume that φ is absolutely continuous with respect to the spherical Lebesgue measure. Almost surely each cell of the tessellation contains a unique ball of maximal volume called the inball of the cell. Thus, we can assume the centering function $\mathfrak{c}$ to be the center of the inball. In the first subsection we show that cells with small Σ-content are simplices of a random shape. In the second subsection we investigate the speed of convergence of $\mathbb{P}(f_{d-1}(Z) > d+1 \mid \Sigma(Z) < a)$ to 0, as $a \to 0$.

4.1 Absolute continuous case: Cells with small Σ-content are simplices with random shape

Theorem 10.4.6 of [SW08] describes the distribution of the typical cell of a stationary isotropic hyperplane tessellation. As observed after the proof of the theorem in [SW08], it easily extends to the non isotropic case if φ is absolutely continuous with respect to the spherical Lebesgue measure. It states that for any Borel set $A \subset \mathcal{K}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \in A) = \frac{\gamma^{d+1}}{(d+1)\gamma^{(d)}} \int_{\mathsf{P}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma r} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{H \in \eta \cap \mathcal{F}^{rB^d}} H^- \cap (rT(u_{0:d})) \in A \right) \mathrm{d}r \times \Delta_d(u_{0:d}) \, \mathrm{d}\varphi^{d+1}(u_{0:d}) \,, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\eta \cap \mathcal{F}^{rB^d}$ denotes the set of hyperplanes of the process η which do not intersect the ball rB^d,

$$u_{0:d} = (u_0, \dots, u_d) \,,$$

$$\mathsf{P} = \left\{ (u_{0:d}) \in (\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^{d+1} : u_0, \dots u_d \text{ are not all in one closed half sphere} \right\} \,, \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$T(u_{0:d}) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{d} H(u_i, 1)^- \,, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_d(u_{0:d}) = \lambda_d(\mathrm{ConvexHull}(u_0, \dots, u_d)) \,. \tag{4.3}$$

In particular, for an open Borel set $S \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{c},\Phi}$ and $a > 0$, Equation (4.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) = d+1 \,, \mathfrak{s}(Z) \in S \,, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a \right) \\
&= \frac{\gamma^{d+1}}{(d+1)\gamma^{(d)}} \int_{\mathsf{P}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma r \Phi(T(u_{0:d}))} \mathbb{1}\left(\mathfrak{s}(T(u_{0:d})) \in S \right) \mathbb{1}\left(\Sigma(rT(u_{0:d}))^{\frac{1}{k}} < a \right) \mathrm{d}r \\
&\qquad \times \Delta_d(u_{0:d}) \, \mathrm{d}\varphi^{d+1}(u_{0:d}) \\
&\sim \gamma a \cdot \frac{\gamma^d}{(d+1)\gamma^{(d)}} \int_{\mathsf{P}} \frac{\Delta_d(u_{0:d})}{\Sigma(T(u_{0:d}))^{\frac{1}{k}}} \mathbb{1}\left(\mathfrak{s}(T(u_{0:d})) \in S \right) \mathrm{d}\varphi^{d+1}(u_{0:d}) \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

as $a \to 0$.

But, because of (1.1),

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \mathtt{r}(Z) < \frac{a}{\Sigma(B^d)^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right),$$

and thus (4.1) also gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \\
&\leq \frac{\gamma^{d+1}}{(d+1)\gamma^{(d)}} \int_{\mathsf{P}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma r} \mathbb{P}\left(f\left(\bigcap_{H\in\eta\cap\mathcal{F}^r B^d} H^- \cap (rT(u_{0:d}))\right) > d+1\right) \\
&\qquad \times \mathbb{1}\left(r < \frac{a}{\Sigma(B^d)^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right) \mathrm{d}r\, \Delta_d(u_{0:d})\, \mathrm{d}\varphi^{d+1}(u_{0:d})\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that, for any fixed $u_{0:d} \in \mathsf{P}$, the probability in the integrand tends to 0, as $r \to 0$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \\
&= o\left(\gamma \int_{\mathsf{P}} \frac{a}{\Sigma(B^d)^{\frac{1}{k}}} \Delta_d(u_{0:d})\, \mathrm{d}\varphi^{d+1}(u_{0:d})\right) = o(\gamma a)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

as $a \to 0$.

Equations (4.4) and (4.5) immediately give the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *If φ is absolutely continuous with respect to the spherical Lebesgue measure, and Σ is a size measurement of degree k, then for any Borel set $S \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{c},\Phi}$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) = d+1 \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \to 1\,,$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathfrak{s}(Z) \in S \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \to \frac{\xi_{\varphi,\Sigma}(S)}{\xi_{\varphi,\Sigma}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{c},\Phi})}\,,$$

as $a \to 0$, where

$$\xi_{\varphi,\Sigma}(S) = \int_{\mathsf{P}} \frac{\Delta_d(u_{0:d})}{\Sigma(T(u_{0:d}))^{\frac{1}{k}}} \mathbb{1}\left(\mathfrak{s}(T(u_{0:d})) \in S\right) \mathrm{d}r\, \mathrm{d}\varphi^{d+1}(u_{0:d})\,.$$

4.2 Absolute continuous case: Speed of convergence

Our goal now is to find how fast $\mathbb{P}(f(Z) = d+1 \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a)$ tends to 1 as $a \to 0$. Observe that there exists a strictly positive constant c depending on φ and Σ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \sim \mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) = d+1\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \sim c\gamma a\,. \tag{4.6}$$

The first equivalence is due to Theorem 4.1. The second one is a special case of (4.4). Thus, our problem boils down to study how fast $\mathbb{P}(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a)$ tends to 0, as $a \to 0$.

Because of (1.1), we have

$$\mathbb{P}(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a) \begin{cases} \leq \mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \mathtt{r}(Z) < \frac{a}{\Sigma(B^d)^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right) \\ \geq \mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \mathtt{R}(Z) < \frac{a}{\Sigma(B^d)^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right) \end{cases}. \tag{4.7}$$

This shows that it is essential to study the cases of the inradius and the outradius. Size measurements of the same order as the outradius were already considered in Section 3, and by essentially the same technics, see in particular (3.3), there exists a positive constant c such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \mathtt{R}(Z) < \frac{a}{\Sigma(B^d)^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right) \sim c(\gamma a)^2\,.$$

Combining this with (4.6) and (4.7) leads to

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1 \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \geq \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \mathtt{R}(Z) < \frac{a}{\Sigma(B^d)^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(\Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right)} \sim c\gamma a\,. \tag{4.8}$$

It remains to give an upper bound for $\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \mathtt{r}(Z) < \frac{a}{\Sigma(B^d)^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right)$, which turns out to be technically more involved and is the essential part of this subsection. By (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(d+1)}{\gamma}\frac{\gamma^{(d)}}{\gamma^d}\,\mathbb{P}(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \mathtt{r}(Z) < a) \\ &= \int_{\mathsf{P}} \int_0^a e^{-\gamma r}\left(1 - e^{-\gamma r(\Phi(T(u_{0:d}))-1)}\right) \mathrm{d}r\, \Delta_d(u_{0:d})\, \mathrm{d}\varphi^{d+1}(u_{0:d}), \\ &\leq \int_{\mathsf{P}} \int_0^a 1 - e^{-\gamma r \Phi(T(u_{0:d}))} \mathrm{d}r\, \Delta_d(u_{0:d})\, \mathrm{d}\varphi^{d+1}(u_{0:d})\,, \end{aligned}$$

where P, $T(u_{0:d})$ and $\Delta_d(u_{0:d})$ are defined as in (4.2) and (4.3). It is tempting to upper bound the integrand $1 - e^{-\gamma r \Phi(T(u_{0:d}))}$ by $\gamma r \Phi(T(u_{0:d}))$, since for $r \to 0$ these quantities are equivalent. This would lead us to

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \mathtt{r}(Z) < a)\\ &\leq (\gamma a)^2 \frac{1}{2(d+1)} \frac{\gamma^d}{\gamma^{(d)}} \int_{\mathsf{P}} \Phi(T(u_{0:d}))\Delta_d(u_{0:d})\,\mathrm{d}\varphi^{d+1}(u_{0:d})\,,\end{aligned}$$

but this is useless since the integral $\int_{\mathsf{P}} \Phi(T(u_{0:d}))\Delta_d(u_{0:d})\,\mathrm{d}\varphi^{d+1}(u_{0:d})$ turns out to diverge. We need to consider more carefully the contribution of simplices $T(u_{0:d})$ which have a big Φ-content. The factor $\Delta_d(u_{0:d})$ is not important to get the order of the integral. We upper bound it by $\Delta_{\max} = \max_{u_{0:d}\in\mathsf{P}} \Delta_d(u_{0:d})$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{(d+1)}{\gamma\Delta_{\max}} \frac{\gamma^{(d)}}{\gamma^d}\, \mathbb{P}(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \mathtt{r}(Z) < a)\\ &\leq \int_{\mathsf{P}} \int_0^a 1 - e^{-\gamma r \Phi(T(u_{0:d}))} \mathrm{d}r\, \mathrm{d}\varphi^{d+1}(u_{0:d})\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

In order to go further, we need to prove the following key lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that φ is absolutely continuous with respect to the spherical Lebesgue measure. There exists a constant C_φ, only depending on φ, such that for any increasing function $f\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathsf{P}} f(\Phi(T(u_{0:d})))\,\mathrm{d}\varphi^{d+1}(u_{0:d}) \leq C_\varphi \int_1^\infty f(t) \frac{1}{t^2}\,\mathrm{d}t\,.$$

Proof. In this proof we set

$$\mathsf{P}_k := \{u_{0:d} \in \mathsf{P} : \Phi(T(u_{0:d})) \in [k-1, k]\}\,.$$

Observe that, since f is increasing,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathsf{P}} f(\Phi(T(u_{0:d})))\mathrm{d}\varphi^{d+1}(u_{0:d}) &\leq \sum_{k\geq 1} \int_{\mathsf{P}_k} f(k)\mathrm{d}\varphi^{d+1}(u_{0:d})\\ &= \sum_{k\geq 1} f(k)\varphi^{d+1}(\mathsf{P}_k)\,,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{f(k)}{k^2} \leq 4 \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{f(k)}{(k+1)^2} \leq 4 \sum_{k\geq 1} \int_k^{k+1} f(t) \frac{1}{t^2} \mathrm{d}t \leq 4 \int_0^\infty \frac{f(t)}{t^2} \mathrm{d}t\,.$$

Thus we only have to show that there exists a constant $C'_\varphi > 0$, such that $\varphi^{d+1}(\mathsf{P}_k) \leq C'_\varphi k^{-2}$, for any $k \geq 1$.

Since φ is absolutely continuous with respect to the spherical Lebesgue measure σ, there exists a constant c_φ such that $\varphi \leq c_\varphi \sigma$. Thus we can reduce the problem one step further. We only have to show that there exists a constant $C''_\varphi > 0$ such that $\sigma^{d+1}(\mathsf{P}_k) \leq C''_\varphi k^{-2}$.

For any $u_{0:d} \in \mathsf{P}$ and $i \in \{0, \dots, d\}$, we consider

$$v(u_{0:d}, i) := \bigcap_{j \in \{0,\dots d\} \setminus \{i\}} H(u_j, 1)\,.$$

This is the vertex of the simplex $T(u_{0:d})$ which is not contained in the face with outward normal vector u_i, see Figure 1. With respect to this notation we define

$$\mathsf{P}'_k := \{u_{0:d} \in \mathsf{P}_k : \|v(u_{0:d}, i)\| \leq \|v(u_{0:d}, 0)\| \text{ for any } i \in [n]\}\,.$$

Note that $\sigma^{d+1}(\mathsf{P}_k) = (d+1)\sigma^{d+1}(\mathsf{P}'_k)$.

Using an integral transformation due to Miles, see Theorem 4 of [Mil71a] or Note 6 on page 286 of [SW08] we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{d+1}(\mathsf{P}'_k) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^d} \mathbb{1}(u_{0:d} \in \mathsf{P}'_k)\, \mathrm{d}\sigma^d(u_{1:d})\, \mathrm{d}\sigma(u_0) \\
&= (d-1)! \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \int_{(H(v,t)\cap\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^d} \mathbb{1}(u_{0:d} \in \mathsf{P}'_k)\, \Delta_{d-1}(u_{1:d}) \\
&\qquad \times \mathrm{d}{\sigma'_{v,t}}^d(u_{1:d})\, \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{(1-t^2)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\, \mathrm{d}\sigma(v)\, \mathrm{d}\sigma(u_0)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma'_{v,t}$ denotes the spherical Lebesgue measure on the $(d-2)$-dimensional sphere $H(v,t) \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. For any $u_0, v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $t \in (0,1)$, considering the diffeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
H(v,0) \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1} &\to H(v,t) \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \\
u' &\mapsto u = tv + \sqrt{1-t^2}u'\,,
\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(H(v,t)\cap\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^d} \mathbb{1}(u_{0:d} \in \mathsf{P}'_k)\, \Delta_{d-1}(u_{1:d})\, \mathrm{d}(\sigma'_{v,t})^d(u_{1:d}) \\
&= \int_{(H(v,0)\cap\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^d} \mathbb{1}(u_{0:d} \in \mathsf{P}'_k)\, (1-t^2)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \Delta_{d-1}(u'_{1:d}) \left((1-t^2)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\right)^d \mathrm{d}{\sigma'_{v,0}}^d(u'_{1:d})\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$u_{0:d} = \left(u_0, tv + \sqrt{1-t^2}\,u'_1, \dots, tv + \sqrt{1-t^2}\,u'_d\right)\,. \tag{4.10}$$



Figure 1: Construction of $T(u_{0:d})$ (thick triangle).

Thus

$$\sigma^{d+1}(\mathsf{P}_k') = (d-1)! \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{(H(v,0)\cap\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^d} \Delta_{d-1}(u_{1:d}') \tag{4.11}$$
$$\times \int_0^1 \mathbb{1}\left(u_{0:d} \in \mathsf{P}_k'\right) (1-t^2)^{\frac{d^2-2d-1}{2}} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}{\sigma'_{v,0}}^d(u_{1:d}') \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(v) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(u_0) \, .$$

Now we will get an approximation of the integral with respect to the variable t as $k \to \infty$. Fix $u_0, v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $u_1', \dots, u_d' \in H(v,0) \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. For $t > 0$ we define $u_{0:d}$ as in (4.10). We easily see that $\Phi(T(u_{0:d})) \sim \Phi([o, v(u_{0:d}, 0)]) = t^{-1}\Phi([o,v])$, as $t \to 0$. This implies, for $k \to \infty$,

$$\int_0^1 \mathbb{1}\left(u_{0:d} \in \mathsf{P}_k'\right) (1-t^2)^{\frac{d^2-2d-1}{2}} \, \mathrm{d}t \sim \int_0^1 \mathbb{1}\left(t \in \left[\frac{\Phi([o,v])}{k}, \frac{\Phi([o,v])}{k-1}\right]\right) (1-t^2)^{\frac{d^2-2d-1}{2}} \, \mathrm{d}t$$
$$\sim \frac{\Phi([o,v])}{k^2} \, .$$

Consequently, with (4.11), we get

$$\sigma^{d+1}(\mathsf{P}_k') \sim \frac{(d-1)!}{k^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{(H(v,0)\cap\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^d} \Delta_{d-1}(u_{1:d}') \, \mathrm{d}{\sigma'_{v,0}}^d(u_{1:d}') \, \Phi([o,v]) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(v) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(u_0) \, .$$

as $k \to \infty$. This implies that there exists a constant C_φ'' such that $\sigma^{d+1}(\mathsf{P}_k') \le C_\varphi'' k^{-2}$ for any $k \ge 1$, which completes the proof. □

Now that we proved Lemma 4.2, we go back to our original problem which is to derive an upper bound for $\mathbb{P}(f(Z) > d+1\,,\,\mathtt{r}(Z) < a)$. Equation (4.9) and Lemma 4.2 give

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(d+1)}{\gamma\Delta_{\max}}\frac{\gamma^{(d)}}{\gamma^d}\,\mathbb{P}(f(Z) > d+1\,,\,\mathtt{r}(Z) < a) &\leq C_\varphi \int_1^\infty \int_0^a 1-e^{-\gamma r t}\mathrm{d}r\,\frac{1}{t^2}\mathrm{d}t \\ &= C_\varphi\,(I_1 + I_2 + I_3)\;,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_1^{\frac{1}{\gamma a}} \int_0^a \frac{1-e^{-\gamma r t}}{t^2}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t \leq \int_1^{\frac{1}{\gamma a}} \int_0^a \frac{\gamma r t}{t^2}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t = \frac{\gamma a^2}{2}\ln\left(\frac{1}{\gamma a}\right)\;,$$

$$I_2 = \int_{\frac{1}{\gamma a}}^\infty \int_0^{\frac{1}{\gamma t}} \frac{1-e^{-\gamma r t}}{t^2}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t \leq \int_{\frac{1}{\gamma a}}^\infty \int_0^{\frac{1}{\gamma t}} \frac{\gamma r t}{t^2}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t = \frac{\gamma a^2}{4}\,,$$

and

$$I_3 = \int_{\frac{1}{\gamma a}}^\infty \int_{\frac{1}{\gamma t}}^a \frac{1-e^{-\gamma r t}}{t^2}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}t = \int_0^a \int_{\frac{1}{\gamma r}}^\infty \frac{1-e^{-\gamma r t}}{t^2}\mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}r = \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1-e^{-t}}{t^2}\mathrm{d}t\right)\frac{\gamma a^2}{2} \leq \frac{\gamma a^2}{2}\,.$$

Therefore, by setting $C'_\varphi := C_\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{\Delta_{\max}\gamma^d}{(d+1)\gamma^{(d+1)}}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(f(Z) > d+1\,,\,\mathtt{r}(Z) < a) \leq C'_\varphi(\gamma a)^2\ln\left(\frac{1}{\gamma a}\right)\;,$$

for $a < e^{-1}\gamma^{-1}$. And with (4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1\,,\,\Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1\,,\,\mathtt{r}(Z) < \frac{a}{\Sigma(B^d)^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right) \\ &\leq C'_\varphi(\gamma\Sigma(B^d)^{-\frac{1}{k}}a)^2\ln\left(\frac{1}{\gamma\Sigma(B^d)^{-\frac{1}{k}}a}\right)\;,\end{aligned}$$

for $a < \Sigma(B^d)^{-\frac{1}{k}}e^{-1}\gamma^{-1}$. This implies the existence of a constant $C_{\varphi,\Sigma}$, depending only on φ and Σ, such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1\,,\,\Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \leq C_{\varphi,\Sigma}(\gamma a)^2\ln\left(\frac{1}{\gamma a}\right)\;, \tag{4.12}$$

for $a < \gamma^{-1}$. Now, we easily get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume φ is absolutely continuous with respect to the spherical Lebesgue measure, and that Σ is a size measurements of degree $k > 0$. There exist positive constants $c > 0$, depending only on φ and Σ, such that*

$$c\gamma a \leq \mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1 \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \leq c'\gamma a \ln\left(\frac{1}{\gamma a}\right),$$

for $a < \gamma^{-1}$. Moreover the lower bound is sharp if $\Sigma = \mathtt{R}$.

Proof. The lower bound is (4.8), which also includes the equality case if $\Sigma = \mathtt{R}$. Thus we only need to show the upper bound. Equation (4.4) says that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) = d+1\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \sim c_1\gamma a\,,$$

as $a \to 0$, where $c_1 > 0$ is a constant depending only on φ and Σ. In particular, it exists a constant $c_2 > 0$, depending only on φ and Σ, such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) = d+1\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \geq c_2\gamma a\,,$$

for any $a < \gamma^{-1}$. Thus, with (4.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1 \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1\,,\, \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(\Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right)} \\
&\leq \frac{C_{\varphi,\Sigma}\,(\gamma a)^2 \ln\left(\frac{1}{\gamma a}\right)}{c_2\gamma a} \\
&= \frac{C_{\varphi,\Sigma}}{c_2}\gamma a \ln\left(\frac{1}{\gamma a}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

for $a < \gamma^{-1}$. This yields the theorem. □

5 Directional distribution with atoms

In the two previous sections we have seen that $\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) = n_{\min} \mid \Sigma(Z)^{\frac{1}{k}} < a\right) \to 1$, as $a \to 0$, if

- either $\Sigma^{\frac{1}{k}} > c\Phi$ for some $c > 0$,
- or φ is absolutely continuous with respect to the spherical Lebesgue measure.

In contrast to these results we present in the following theorem a class of directional distribution for which cells with small inradius do not have with high probability the minimal number of facets. This class includes, for example, the normalization of the sum of the spherical Lebesgue measure and a non-zero discrete measure. In the planar case, other examples are discrete measures with support of cardinality at least 2×3.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that φ is such that:*

1. *the support of φ includes $d+1$ distinct points which are not all contained in some half sphere,*
2. *φ has an atom at $u \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, i.e. $\varphi(\{u,-u\}) > 0$.*

Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) = n_{\min} \mid \mathtt{r}(Z) < a\right) \not\to 1, \text{ as } a \to 0,$$

meaning that, conditionally on the event $\{\mathtt{r}(Z) < a\}$, the probability that Z has the minimal number of facets possible does not tend to 1, as $a \to 0$.

Proof. The first condition is equivalent to $n_{\min} = d+1$. For $a > 0$, set

$$A_{u,a} = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : P \text{ has two parallel facets orthogonal to } u \text{ with distance less than } a\}.$$

Since a polytope which has a couple of parallel facets cannot be a simplex, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f(Z) > d+1 \mid \mathtt{r}(Z) < a\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(Z \in A_{u,a} \mid \mathtt{r}(Z) < a\right). \tag{5.1}$$

But Theorem 10.4.8 of [SW08] tells us that $\mathbb{P}(\mathtt{r}(Z) < a) = 1 - e^{-\gamma a} \sim \gamma a$ as $a \to 0$, and we will show below that, under condition 2,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{there exists } c > 0 \text{ and } a_0 > 0 \text{ such that} \\ &\mathbb{P}(Z \in A_{u,a}) > c\gamma a, \text{ for any } a \in (0, \gamma^{-1}a_0). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Therefore, for $a \in (0, \gamma^{-1}a_0)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Z \in A_{u,a} \mid \mathtt{r}(Z) < a\right) = \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(Z \in A_{u,a}\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(\mathtt{r}(Z) < a\right)} > \frac{c\gamma a}{\gamma a} = c > 0\,.$$

Combined with (5.1) and the fact that $n_{\min} = d+1$, it implies the theorem.

It remains to show that (5.2) holds. We will use Theorem 10.4.7 of [SW08] which gives an explicit representation of the typical cell as an intersection of the zero cell and $d+1$ halfspaces containing the origin in their boundaries. For $\xi, u_1, \dots, u_d \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ in general position, we denote by $T_\xi(u_1, \dots, u_d)$ the simplicial cone whose facets are subsets of the hyperplanes $H(u_1, 0), \dots, H(u_d, 0)$ and for which the origin o is the unique highest point in direction ξ, meaning that $0 = \langle \xi, o\rangle > \langle \xi, x\rangle$ for any $x \in T_\xi(u_1, \dots, u_d) \setminus \{o\}$. With Theorem 10.4.7 of [SW08], we get that there exist a probability measure ϕ_d on $(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^d$ and a vector $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ such that, for any $a > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Z \in A_{u,a}) &= \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^d} \mathbb{P}(Z_0 \cap T_\xi(u_1, \dots, u_d) \in A_{u,a})\, \mathrm{d}\phi_d(u_1, \dots, u_d) \\ &\geq d \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^d} \mathbb{1}(u_1 = u)\mathbb{P}(Z_0 \cap T_\xi(u_1, \dots, u_d) \in A_{u,a})\, \mathrm{d}\phi_d(u_1, \dots, u_d)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that for any fixed $u_2, \dots, u_d$, and $a \to 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(Z_0 \cap T_\xi(u, u_2 \dots, u_d) \in A_{u,a}) &\sim \mathbb{P}(\exists t \in (0,a) : H(u,t) \in \eta) = 1 - e^{-\gamma a \varphi(\{u\})} \\ &\sim \gamma a \varphi(\{u\})\,.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by setting a constant c such that

$$0 < c < d\,\varphi(\{u\}) \int_{(S^{d-1})^d} \mathbb{1}(u_1 = u)\,\mathrm{d}\phi_d(u_1, \dots, u_d)\,,$$

we have $\mathbb{P}(Z \in A_{u,a}) > c\gamma a$ for a small enough. This is precisely (5.2). □

References

[BCR16] Gilles Bonnet, Pierre Calka, and Matthias Reitzner. Cells with many facets in a Poisson hyperplane tessellation (arXiv:1608.07979v1). 2016.

[BL09] Volker Baumstark and Günter Last. Gamma distributions for stationary Poisson flat processes. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 41(4):911–939, 2009.

[BRT14] Mareen Beermann, Claudia Redenbach, and Christoph Thäle. Asymptotic shape of small cells. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 287(7):737–747, 2014.

[CH15] Nicolas Chenavier and Ross Hemsley. Extremes for the inradius in the Poisson line tessellation. 2015.

[Cow06] Richard Cowan. A more comprehensive complementary theorem for the analysis of poisson point processes. *Advances in applied probability*, pages 581–601, 2006.

[Kov97] Igor Nikolaevich Kovalenko. Proof of David Kendall's conjecture concerning the shape of large random polygons. *Cybernetics and Systems Analysis*, 33(4):461–467, 1997.

[Kov99] Igor Nikolaevich Kovalenko. A simplified proof of a conjecture of D. G. Kendall concerning shapes of random polygons. *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal.*, 12(4):301–310, 1999.

[Mil71a] Roger E. Miles. Isotropic random simplices. *Advances in Applied Probability*, 3(2):353–382, 1971.

[Mil71b] Roger E. Miles. Poisson flats in euclidean spaces part ii: Homogeneous poisson flats and the complementary theorem. *Advances in Applied Probability*, 3:1–43, 3 1971.

[Mil95] Roger E. Miles. A heuristic proof of a long-standing conjecture of D. G. Kendall concerning the shapes of certain large random polygons. *Advances in Applied Probability*, 27(2):397–417, 1995.

[MZ96] Jesper Møller and Sergei Zuyev. Gamma-type results and other related properties of poisson processes. *Advances in applied probability*, pages 662–673, 1996.

[SKM87] Dietrich Stoyan, Wilfrid S. Kendall, and Joseph Mecke. *Stochastic Geometry and its Applications*. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics: Applied Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1987. With a foreword by D. G. Kendall.

[ST16] Matthias Schulte and Christoph Thäle. *Poisson Point Process Convergence and Extreme Values in Stochastic Geometry*, pages 255–294. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016.

[SW08] Rolf Schneider and Wolfgang Weil. *Stochastic and Integral Geometry*. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.